5.0 Summary of Analytical Results

2	
3	The results of the chemical analysis of samples collected at Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7)
4	and 185(7), indicate that metals, VOCs, and one SVOC were detected in various site media.
5	CWM breakdown products were not detected in any of the samples collected at the site. To
6	evaluate whether the detected constituents present an unacceptable risk to human health and the
7	environment, the analytical results were compared to the human health SSSLs and ESVs for
8	FTMC. The SSSLs and ESVs were developed by Shaw for human health and ecological risk
9	evaluations as part of the ongoing SIs being performed under the BRAC Environmental
10	Restoration Program at FTMC.
11	
12	Metals concentrations exceeding the SSSLs and ESVs were subsequently compared to metals
13	background screening values to determine if the metals concentrations are within natural
14	background concentrations (SAIC, 1998). Site metals were further evaluated using statistical
15	and geochemical methods to determine if the metals were site related (Appendix G).
16	
17	The following sections and Tables 5-1 through 5-5 summarize the results of the comparison of
18	detected constituents to the SSSLs, ESVs, and background screening values. Complete
19	analytical results are presented in Appendix F.
20	
21	5.1 Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
22	Eight surface soil samples and three depositional soil samples were collected for chemical
23	analysis at Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7). Surface soil samples were collected
24	from the uppermost foot of soil, and depositional soil samples were collected from the upper six
25	inches of soil at the locations shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to
26	residential human health SSSLs, ESVs, and metals background screening values, as presented in
27	Table 5-1.
28	
29	Metals. A total of 21 metals were detected in the surface and depositional samples. The
30	concentrations of five metals (aluminum, antimony, arsenic, iron, and manganese) exceeded
31	SSSLs in one or more samples. Of these, only two metals also exceeded their respective
32	background values in one sample each:
33	

5-1

• Aluminum (20,200 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg]) exceeded its SSSL (7,803

mg/kg) and background (16,306 mg/kg) at sample location CWM-185-DEP02.

34

35

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 4)

S	ample Lo ample N Sample	umber Date				08-	L0011 Nov-0	1			1	/I-184-M TL0001 6-Oct-01	1			CWM-184- TL000 16-Oct	03 -01			TL	84-MW0 .0005 Oct-01	3	<u></u>
Parameter METALS	Units	BKG*	SSSL	ESV ^b	Result	Quai	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qua	i >BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual >BK	G >SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual >	BKG >	SSL.	>ESV
	1 //	Licoryou	17 por . 00	T = 000 . 04	4 4 4 6 7 7 7 7	, ,				1	.,		-					***************************************				************	
Aluminum			7.80E+03			-		YES	YES	3.55E+03					1.13E+04		YES	YES	6.96E+03			T	YES
Antimony			3.11E+00			-				4.62E+00	-	YES	YES	YES	ND			[ND				
Arsenic			4.26E-01					YES		8.62E-01			YES		3.61E+00		YES		1.65E+00		1	ES	
Barium			5.47E+02				YES		YES	1.25E+01					4.54E+01				4.49E+01			\neg	
Beryllium			9.60E+00				YES			ND	I				ND				ND				
Calcium		1.72E+03		NA NA	7.79E+02					6.37E+01					5.16E+02	T		i	9.47E+02				
Chromium			2.32E+01		1,32E+01				YES	3.80E+00				YES	1.43E+01			YES	5.91E+00				YES
Cobalt			4.68E+02							1.91E+00	J				2.46E+00				1.26E+00	I		-+	
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	1.11E+02		YES		YES	ND	1				4.19E+00				2.59E+00			_	
lron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	9.77E+03			YES	YES	2.50E+03			YES	YES	1.02E+04	 	YES	YES	6.42E+03		— \	ES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	8.87E+01		YES			5.27E+00				1==	1.06E+01	 	1	150	1.02E+01	 -			153
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA.	4.40E+05	5.73E+02					1.50E+02				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.71E+02	 			3.44E+02	 		-+	
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	3.58E+02				YES	4.07E+01		1			4.05E+01	 			1.17E+02	-			YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	4.00E-01		YES		YES			+			ND		 		ND				153
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	6.86E+00	 				1.73E+00	1	 			4.90E+00				3.12E+00	 			
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	6.11E+02					2.91E+02		1			5.88E+02	g			3.56E+02	<u>-</u>			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	8.10E-01	J	YES			ND	 - -	1			ND				ND	-			
Silver	mg/kg	3.60E-01	3.91E+01	2.00E+00	1.71E+01		YES		YES	ND	· · · · ·	1			ND	 			ND	 			
Sodium		6.34E+02			4.91E+01	В				ND	 	 			ND				ND	 			
Vanadium	ma/ka	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	1.41E+01				YES	6.24E+00	 	-		VES	1.93E+01		 	YES		 			V.50
Zinc			2.34E+03				YES			5.41E+00		 		140	1.58E+01			153	1.47E+01				YES
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM			1		0.012.07					0.47E.00	10	لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	:	لـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	1.305701	<u> </u>			1.47E+01	L			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	ND				· · · · · ·	5.00E-03	.1	1	··		ND				ND				
2-Hexanone	mg/kg		3.11E+02		ND					ND	_	+			ND				ND ND	 			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02			J				1.00E-01		 			4.30E-02	 			4.30E-02	 -			
Chloroform	mg/kg	NA	1.03E+02		ND ND	-				ND	_	 			4.30E-02 ND	<u> </u>							VC- 0
Methylene chloride	mg/kg	NA	8.41E+01		ND					2.00E-03	R	+			1.60E-03	-			2.30E-03				YES
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	NA	1.21E+01		ND	\vdash				ND		 			ND	<u> </u>		-	1.60E-03	В			
Toluene	mg/kg		1.55E+03		ND					ND	 	 			ND		-		ND				
Trichlorofluoromethane	ma/ka		2.33E+03		ND	-				ND	 	+			1.70E-03		-		ND				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA NA	2.60E-01	1				ND		 			ND	<u> </u>	+		ND	 			
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg		7.77E+01	NA NA	ND	-		 -		ND	 	[-			ND				ND			Į_	
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC				1373	110	·		t	1	ND	·			l	ואט				ND				
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	* 1 *	4.52E+01	9.30F-01	1.30F-01	+ 1	T			ND		т. т			ND								
	1.090.91	141	1.0EE 0 1	0.00E-01	1.001-01	<u> </u>		1		עאו		<u> </u>	1		ND			i	ND				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 4)

s	ample Lo ample N Sample	umber Date					M-184-N TL0007 16-Oct-0)1			1	//-184-M TL0009 6-Oct-01	1			CWM-185- TF00 7-Nov	09			CWM-185 TF00 7-Nov	10	
Parameter	Units	BKG*	SSSL	' ESV	Result	Qu	ai >BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qua	I)>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual >B	G >SSS	L >ES\	/ Result	Qual >Bi		SL >ES
METALS																		****		<u> </u>		
Aluminum					01 1.29E+0	4		YES	YEŞ	1.08E+04	1		YES	YES	1.61E+04		YES	YES	2.02E+04	l YE	S YES	YES
Antimony				00 3.50E+						ND					ND			1	ND		- 	1
Arsenic					01 2.37E+0			YES		2.83E+00)		YES		2.38E+00		YES	1	4.26E+00		YES	, -
Barium					02 4.03E+0	1				5.90E+0					1.12E+02			1	8.37E+01		- 1	-
Beryllium				00 1.10E+	00 ND			I	T	ND	1				7.33E-01	J		 	7.76E-01	lj	+	
Calcium		1.72E+0		NA	1.13E+0.				T	1.73E+02	2				5.47E+02		1	 	4.23E+02	17. 1		
Chromium					1.53E+0	1			YES	1.60E+01				YES	1.66E+01			YES	2.11E+01	 		YES
Cobalt				2 2.00E+				T		3.75E+00)	<u> </u>			4.33E+00			 	6.01E+00	 	· -	1150
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+0	1 3.13E+	2 4.00E+	01 6.85E+0	5		T		3,00E+00)	1			1.80E+01	YE	8		1.49E+01	YE	-	
Iron					02 1.13E+0	4	***	YES	YES	1.28E+04		1	YES	YES	1.30E+04	 	YES	YES	1.75E+04	 	YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+0	1 4.00E+	2 5.00E+	01 1.02E+0	1				8.58E+00)	1		<u> </u>	1.93E+02	YE			6.59E+01	YE		YES
Magnesium		1.03E+0			5 6.08E+0					6.20E+02	1	1 1			1.30E+03	YE		1	1.08E+03	YE		150
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+0	3 3.63E+	2 1.00E+	2 1.62E+0	1			1	1.67E+02		 		YES	1.27E+02		-	VEG	4.30E+02		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8,00E-02	2 2.33E+	00 1.00E-0	1 3.30E-02	? J		1	T	ND					3.55E-02			1.00	4.70E-02		1.53	150
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+0	1 1.54E+	2 3.00E+	1 4.88E+0)	*			4.50E+00	i	 			7.93E+00				1.02E+01	 	 	
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+0	2 NA	NA	7.91E+0	2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5.56E+02	8			ļ——	1.62E+03	YE	<u> </u>	-	1.12E+03	YE		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-0	3.91E+0	1 8.10E-0	1 ND	1				ND	1	1			ND			┼	ND	 	2	
Silver	mg/kg	3.60E-01	3.91E+0	1 2.00E+	ON ND	1				ND		1			ND			-	ND	 		
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	2 NA	NA	ND	1				ND	·	 			5.10E+01				5.17E+01	 		
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+0	1 5.31E+0	1 2.00E+0	0 2.71E+0				YES	1.79E+01	†	†			2.69E+01	<u> </u>		VES	3.63E+01	 		YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+0	2.34E+0	3 5.00E+0	1.53E+0			<u> </u>		1.53E+01	†	 			3.33E+01			1123	2,83E+01	 		1153
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS		**	····	•		 	·	L		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	-l		<u> </u>	0.002.01				[Z.03E 70]	<u> </u>		
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+0	3 8.96E+0	1 ND	Ţ				8.50E-03	l.i				8.60E-02	1 1	T	T	4.10E-02			
2-Hexanone	mg/kg	NA	3.11E+0	2 1.26E+0	1 ND	1				ND	<u> </u>	 			9.80E-03				ND	-		
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+0	2 2.50E+0	0 3.80E-02	IJ				1.20E-01	ij –	 			2.30E+00		+	+	6.20E-01	 		
Chloroform	mg/kg	NA		2 1.00E-0		1	1			ND	 	 		-	ND	-		+	ND	-		
Methylene chloride	mg/kg	NA	8.41E+0	1 2.00E+0		В				1.40E-03	В	1 1			3.40E-03	B		+	1.90E-03			
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg	NA		1 1.00E-0		† 				ND	 	 			0.40E-03	-	+	+	1.90E-03	P		+
Toluene	mg/kg	NA		3 5.00E-0		1				ND	 	 			1.60E-02	1	+	┼	2.00E-03	 		 -
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	NA		3 1.00E-0		j				ND	 	 			3.00E-03			 	2.00E-03	J	- 	
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+0		ND	† 				ND	!	 			2.40E-01		- 	 	3.30E-03	 		
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg	NA	7.77E+0		ND	 				ND	1	 			ND	- 	+	 	3.30E-03	<u> </u>	 	
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC	COMPOL	INDS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		·				J			110			1	I ND			
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	NA	4.52E+0	1 9.30E-0	1 ND	T	7			ND		T 1		-	ND			T	ND I			

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 3 of 4)

Sa	mple Lo	cation				CWM-	185-G	P01			CWM	-185-M	W01		1	CWM	-185-N	W02	-
Sa	imple N	umber				TI	F0007				1	F0001			1	Т	F0003	;	
	Sample I					16-	Oct-0	1				-Oct-0			<u> </u>		-Oct-0		
Parameter	Units	BKG*	SSSL	EŞV⁵	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>5551	. >ESV
METALS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									······································		,					
Aluminum		1.63E+04			1.06E+04			YES	YES	1.11E+04			YES	YES	5.92E+03				YES
Antimony		1.99E+00			ND					ND					ND				T
Arsenic		1.37E+01						YES		2.11E+00	-		YES		3.89E+00			YES	1
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	1.09E+02					7.84E+01					4.24E+01	I			Ι
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00		6.04E-01	J				5.25E-01	J				ND			Ī	\top
Calcium		1.72E+03	NA	NA	3.00E+02					1.41E+02	J				3.16E+02				T
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	9.28E+00				YES	9.32E+00		i		YES	6.97E+00				YES
Cobalt		1.52E+01								2.50E+00					1.82E+00	J			T
Copper		1.27E+01								2.02E+00	J			L	ND				\Box
Iron		3.42E+04						YES	YES	6.59E+03	l	l	YES	YES	1.01E+04			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	1.11E+01					1.04E+01					8.45E+00				
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	6.44E+02					7.05E+02					3.33E+02			i i	\Box
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	4.88E+02			YES	YES	8.99E+01					8.76E+01			1	
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	ND					ND					ND			1	1
Nickel		1.03E+01	1.54E+02		5.10E+00			·		4.63E+00					2.38E+00	J		<u> </u>	1
Potassium		8.00E+02	NA	NA	6.17E+02	В				7.86E+02					3.93E+02	В			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	ND					6.68E-01	J	YES			ND				T
Silver		3.60E-01			ND					ND					ND				Ī
Sodium		6.34E+02	NA	NA	ND					2.38E+01	J				ND				
Vanadium		5.88E+01								1.64E+01				YES	1.54E+01				YES
Zinc		4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	5.71E+01	j	YES		YES	1.27E+01	J				1.01E+01	J			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMP																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg			8.96E+01		j				5.30E-03	J				6.80E-03	J			
2-Hexanone	mg/kg		3.11E+02		ND				L	. ND					ND				
Acetone	mg/kg		7.76E+02		1.30E-01	J				1.60E-01					1.10E-01	J			
Chloroform	mg/kg		1.03E+02		ND					5.20E-03		L		YES	ND				
Methylene chloride	mg/kg			2.00E+00		В				2.80E-03	В				2.40E-03	В			
Tetrachloroethene	mg/kg		1.21E+01		6.60E-03					םא					ND				
Toluene	mg/kg		1.55E+03		ND	T				1.70E-02					2.40E-03				
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg		2.33E+03		ND					ND					1.30E-03	J			
p-Cymene	mg/kg		1.55E+03	NA	ND					3.30E-02					8.60E-03				
sec-Butylbenzene	mg/kg		7.77E+01,	NA	ND					4.60E-03	J				1.40E-03	J			
SEMIVOLATILE ORGANIC (COMPO																		
Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	mg/kg	NA	4.52E+01	9.30E-01	ND					ND					ND				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 4 of 4)

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods,

- ^a 8KG Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.
- ^b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) and ecological screening value (ESV) as given in IT Corporation, 2000, Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, July, B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit.
- J Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration. mg/kg Milligrams per kilogram.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 3)

Sample Samp Sample I		et)		CV	VM-184-MV TL0002 16-Oct-01 4 - 5			VM-184 TL06 16-Oc	t-01	2	CV	VM-184- TL000 16-Oct 5 - 6)6 -01	3	CV	VM-184 TL00 16-Oc	t-01	ļ
Parameter	Units	BKG	SSSL°	Result	Qual >BH	(G >SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual >	BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																		
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	1.40E+04	YE	S YES	1.43E+04	Γ	YES	YES	2.43E+04		YE\$	YES	1.25E+04	Т		YES
Antimony			3.11E+00				ND				5.62E+00		YES	YES	ND	1		
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	2.91E+00		YES	1.84E+00			YES	7.28E+00			YES	2.86E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	4.20E+01			3.31E+01				5.59E+01				3.08E+01			0
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND			ND				4.31E-01	J			ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	6.65E+01	В		6.43E+01	В			3.56E+02				2.11E+02	 	-+	
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	1.36E+01			1.35E+01				2.06E+01	 -			1.34E+01			
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	4.03E+00			2.31E+00				4.36E+00	 			1.55E+00		-	
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	3.75E+00			3.96E+00				5.74E+00				5.77E+00			
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	1.61E+04		YES	9.03E+03			YES	3.44E+04			YES	1.05E+04	 		YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	7.09E+00			5.95E+00				1.09E+01				6.61E+00	 		-1-0
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA NA	6.94E+02			6.17E+02			····	9.98E+02		YES		5.85E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	2.39E+01			9.71E+00				3.30E+01				9.58E+00			
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	ND			ND				3.60E-02	j			ND			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	5.39E+00			4.95E+00				8.53E+00				4.33E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	7.96E+02	B YE	3	6.88E+02	В			1.18E+03		YES		6.56E+02			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND			ND				ND		-		2.27E+01			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	2.23E+01			2.25E+01				4.28E+01				2.64E+01	- 		
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	1.56E+01			1.23E+01				2.34E+01				1.09E+01			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS	;			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							L			1.002.07	L		
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	3.60E-03	J		ND				ND				ND			
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	mg/kg		6.21E+02				ND	l 1	\neg		ND		\dashv		ND			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	9.20E-02	J		1.50E-02	J			1.30E-02	J			1.20E-02	. 		
Methylene chloride	mg/kg	NA	8.41E+01	1.60E-03	В	···	1.60E-03				2.00E-03			···	1.50E-03			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	ND			ND	<u> </u>			1.10E-03				ND			
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+03	ND			1.10E-03	J			ND				ND		 +	
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	1.20E-03	J		ND				ND	- -	_		ND		-+	

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 3)

Sampl Sam Sample	e Locatio le Numbe ple Date Depth (fe	r et)		CV	/M-184-N TL001(16-Oct-(7 - 8))1		TF0 16-O- 7 -	ct-01 - 8			/M-185 TF00 16-Oct 4 - 5	02 :-01	1	CV	VM-18 TF0 16-0	ct-01	2
Parameter	Units	BKG'	SSSL°	Result	Qual >E	KG >885	L Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual :	BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qua	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS													···					
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	1.49E+04	Y	ES YES	1.18E+04			YES	1.53E+04	T T	YES	YES	6.45E+03		1	
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND			ND	T			ND		• • • •		ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	2.48E+00		YES	2.10E+00		1 1	YES	1.17E+00			YES	1.39E+00	1	1	YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	4.19E+01			5.81E+01	1			7.45E+01				4.65E+01			
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND			4.18E-01	J			4.37E-01	J			ND	 	 	
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	3.77E+01	В		1.98E+02	J			2.21E+02	Ĵ			1.26E+02	IJ	 	
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	1.22E+01			1.06E+01				1.36E+01				6.43E+00		\vdash	
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	6.04E+00			4.33E+00	Ť			2.68E+00			············	1.82E+00			
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	5.11E+00			2.11E+00	J			2.18E+00				8.89E-01		 	
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	1.41E+04		YES	1.03E+04			YES	6.86E+03			YES	4.77E+03		 	YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	8.34E+00			8.50E+00		· · · · · ·		8.04E+00				5.61E+00		 	
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	7.35E+02			7.09E+02				9.44E+02		YES		3.61E+02		 	
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	2.65E+01			7.14E+01	1	1 1		2.73E+01				1.19E+02		 	
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	ND			ND	 			ND				ND			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	5.85E+00		•	4.29E+00	<u> </u>			6.27E+00				2.91E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	9.50E+02	Y	ES	7.79E+02	1	YES		1.01E+03		YES		3.85E+02		 	
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND			ND				2.35E+01		. 		ND	<u> </u>	 	
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	2.24E+01.			1.90E+01				1.88E+01				1.03E+01			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	1.65E+01		· · · · ·	2.19E+01	J			1.32E+01	J			6.54E+00	1		
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS	;						•	/ <u>.</u>			······				1	<u></u>	
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	ND	1		ND	1			ND				6.40E-03	J		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	mg/kg	NA	6.21E+02	ND			ND				9.70E-03	J			ND			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	6.30E-03	J		1.10E-02	J			8.10E-03				7.60E-02	J		
Methylene chloride	mg/kg		8.41E+01	1.60E-03	В		1.80E-03				3.10E-03	B			2.50E-03			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	ND			ND	T	1		ND				ND	 	 	
Trichlorofluoromethane	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+03	2.40E-03	J		ND	<u> </u>			ND				ND			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	ND			ND		1		ND	$\overline{}$			ND	 	 	

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 3 of 3)

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.

b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) as given in IT Corporation, 2000, Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit.

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration, mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

Table 5-3

Groundwater Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 4)

Sample L Sample N Sample	lumber	r		CM	/M-184 TL30 6-Dec	-	1	CV	TL3	84-MW01 8006 n-03	CV	/M-184 TL30 7-Dec		2		/M-18 TL3: 10-Ja		2
Parameter	Units	BKG ³	SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qua	BKG >SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																		
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00						NR			ND				NR			
Arsenic	mg/L		4.46E-05				YES	NR			ND				NR			
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	9.57E-03	J			NR			2.62E-02				NR			
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	1.84E+00				NR			7.06E+00				NR			
Cobalt	mg/L	2.34E-02	9.39E-02	1.15E-02	J			NR			ND				NR			
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	1.22E-02	В			NR		T T	1.05E-01	J			NR			
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	ND				NR			ND				NR			
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	8.89E-01	Ĵ			NR			3.80E+00				NR			
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	6.17E-01		YES	YES	NR			1.29E+00		YES	YES	NR			
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	ND				NR			1.09E+00	J			NR			
Selenium	mg/L	NA	7.82E-03	ND				NR			ND				NR			
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	8.83E-01	J			NR			4.93E+00				NR			
Vanadium	mg/L	1.70E-02	1.10E-02	ND				NR			ND				NR			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPO	UNDS																	
Acetone	mg/L	NA	1.56E-01	4.30E-01	J		YES	ND			1.30E+00	J		YES	ND			
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	NA	1.08E-03	ND				ND			ND				ND			
Chloroform	mg/L	NA	1.15E-03	ND				ND			7.80E-04	J			ND			
Methylene chloride	mg/L	NA	7.85E-03	ND				4.20E-04	В		ND				5.80E-04	В		
Toluene	mg/L	NA	2.59E-01	ND				ND			ND				2.20E-04	J		
Trichloroethene	mg/L	NA	4.51E-03	ND				ND			3.30E-04	J			ND			

Table 5-3

Groundwater Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 4)

Sampl Sam	Location e Number ple Date				TL30 11-De	c-01		VM-184-MW TL3008 8-Jan-03	/03	CV	VM-184 TL30 13-Dec	04	4	CV		4-MW0 009 in-03	14
Parameter	Units	BKG*	SSSL°	Result	Qual	>BKG >SSSL	Result	Qual >BK	G >SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result			>SSSI
METALS																	
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00	1.56E+00	ND			I. NR	I I	1	3.64E+00		YES	YES	NR	γ	T	
Arsenic	mg/L	1.78E-02	4.46E-05	ND			NR		 	3.52E-03			YES	NR	-	 	
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	5.34E-03	J		NR			2.43E-02	 		, 20	NR			
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	2.47E+01			NR			2.22E+01				NR		 	
Cobalt	mg/L	2.34E-02	9.39E-02	ND			NR			ND				NR			
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	3.25E-02	В		NR			3.24E+00			YES	NR	 	ļ	
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	ND			NR			1.90E-03			120	NR	<u> </u>		
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	1.43E+01			NR			1.49E+01	-			NR	 -		
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	3.86E-02	J		NR			1.92E-01			YES	NR	 		
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	9.93E-01	J		NR			1.16E+00	1		11.0	NR			
Selenium	mg/L	NA	7.82E-03	4.35E-03			NR		 	ND	-			NR			
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	1.46E+00	В		NR			1.26E+00	R			NR			
Vanadium		1.70E-02	1.10E-02	ND			NR			6.27E-03				NR			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS				·					0.27 2 00				1417		L	
Acetone	mg/L	NA	1.56E-01	ND			ND			ND				9.50E-03	Ω		
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	NA	1.08E-03	ND			ND			2.20E-04	1			ND	ם		
Chloroform	mg/L	NA .	1.15E-03	4.30E-04	В		3.30E-04	J		4.90E-04				ND			
Methylene chloride	mg/L	NA		2.10E-04			4.30E-04		 	ND	-			4.70E-04	D	·	
Toluene	mg/L	NA	2.59E-01	ND			ND	- 	1	ND				ND			
Trichloroethene	mg/L	NA	4.51E-03	4.80E-03		YES	4.30E-03		 	6.90E-04				4.30E-04	<u> </u>		

Table 5-3

Groundwater Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 3 of 4)

Sampl	e Location e Number ple Date	·		CV	VM-184-M TL3005 5-Dec-01		CV ·	VM-184-MW(TL3010 10-Jan-03)5	CM	/M-185-l TF300 4-Dec-0	1
Parameter	Units	BKG*	SSSL°	Result	Qual >B	KG >SSSL	Result	Qual >BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual >	BKG >SSSL
METALS											·	
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00	1.56E+00	1.41E-01	J		NR		<u> </u>	2.31E-01	IJ T	
Arsenic	mg/L	1.78E-02	4.46E-05	2.15E-03	В	YES	NR		<u> </u>	2.74E-03		YES
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	1.93E-02			NR			1.54E-02		
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	2.47E+01			NR			2.50E+01		
Cobalt	mg/L	2.34E-02	9.39E-02	ND			NR		<u> </u>	ND		
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	1.68E-01	J		NR			3.30E-01	J	
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	3.37E-03	В		NR			ND		
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	1.53E+01			NR		† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.55E+01	-	
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	6.54E-02	J		NR			1.66E-01		YES
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	ND			NR			9.96E-01	, 	
Selenium	mg/L	NÁ	7.82E-03	ND			NR			3.57E-03		····
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	1.26E+00			NR			1.09E+00	-	
Vanadium	mg/L	1.70E-02	1.10E-02	ND			NR		····	ND		
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS				·				I			
Acetone	mg/L	NA	1.56E-01	ND			1.10E-01	J		ND		
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	NA	1.08E-03	ND			ND			ND		
Chloroform	mg/L	NA	1.15E-03	2.90E-04	В		ND			ND		
Methylene chloride	mg/L	NA	7.85E-03	6.30E-04	В		5.40E-04	В		ND		
Toluene	mg/L	NA	2.59E-01	ND			2.60E-04	J		ND		
Trichloroethene	mg/L	NA	4.51E-03	ND			ND			ND		

Table 5-3

Groundwater Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 4 of 4)

Sampl	e Location le Number ple Date	.		CV	VM-18 TF3 9-Ja			CV	VM-184 TF30 4-Dec		2	Ċ	TF	85-MW(3005 an-03)2
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL®	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Quai	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS															
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00	1.56E+00	NR				ND				NR	1	1	
Arsenic	mg/L	1.78E-02	4.46E-05	NR	1			ND	1			NR			
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	NR				2.82E-02				NR		<u> </u>	***
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	NR	T			2.32E+01			·	NR			
Cobalt	mg/L	2.34E-02	9.39E-02	NR	T			ND				NR	1		
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	NR				7.01E-02	J			NR	<u> </u>		·····
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	NR				ND				NR			
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	NR		1		1.45E+01				NR	1	 	
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	NR				6.62E-01		YES	YES	NR			
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	NR				1.05E+00	J			NR			
Selenium	mg/L	NA	7.82E-03	NR			*************	2.72E-03				NR			
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	NR				2.42E+01		YES		NR			·····
Vanadium	mg/L	1.70E-02	1.10E-02	NR				ND				NR			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COM	POUNDS					·····			!					<u> </u>	
Acetone	mg/L	NA	1.56E-01	ND	l			5.30E+00	J	I	YES	ND	T		
Bromodichloromethane	mg/L	NA	1.08E-03	ND	1			ND				ND			······································
Chloroform	mg/L	NA	1.15E-03	ND				1.20E-03	В	<u>_</u>	YES	ND			
Methylene chloride	mg/L	NA	7.85E-03	5.50E-04	В			ND				4.80E-04	В		
Toluene	mg/L	NA	2.59E-01	ND				ND		i		ND			
Trichloroethene	mg/L	NA	4.51E-03	ND				ND				ND			

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

NR - Not requested.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.

^b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) as given in IT, 2000, Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit.

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration. mg/L - Milligrams per liter.

Table 5-4

Surface Water Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

	Sampl	e Location e Number ple Date					185-SW/ TF2002 -Nov-01		
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS			***************************************						
Aluminum	mg/L	5.26E+00	1.53E+01	8.70E-02	1.82E-01	В			YES
Barium	mg/L	7.54E-02	1.10E+00	3.90E-03	1.54E-02				YES
Calcium	mg/L	2.52E+01	NA	1.16E+02	2.32E+01		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
iron	mg/L.	1.96E+01	4.70E+00	1.00E+00	4.40E-01	J			
Magnesium	mg/L	1.10E+01	NA	8.20E+01	1.38E+01		YES		
Manganese	mg/L	5.65E-01	6.40E-01	8.00E-02	4.14E-02	J			
Potassium	mg/L	2.56E+00	NA	5.30E+01	9.64E-01	J			
Selenium	mg/L	NΑ	7.82E-02	5.00E-03		J			
Sodium	mg/L	3.44E+00	NA	6.80E+02	8.89E-01	J			
Thallium	mg/L	2.49E-03	1.02E-03	4.00E-03	4.35E-02		YES	YES	YES

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.

^b Recreational site user human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) and ecological screening value (ESV) as given in IT Corporation, 2000, *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama,* July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit.

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration. mg/L - Milligrams per liter.

NA - Not available.

Table 5-5

Sediment Analytical Results Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7) Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

	ample Loca Sample Num Sample Da mple Depth	iber te (Feet)				9.	85-SW/ FF1002 -Nov-01 0 - 0.5	SD02	
Parameter	Units	BKG*	SSSL. ^b	ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>E\$V
METALS									
Aluminum	mg/kg	8.59E+03	1.15E+06	NA	2.88E+03	J			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.13E+01	5.58E+01	7.24E+00	1.54E+00				
Barium	mg/kg	9.89E+01	8.36E+04	NA	1.30E+01				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.11E+03	NA	NA	1.87E+02	Ĵ			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.12E+01	2.79E+03	5.23E+01	6.48E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.71E+01	4.74E+04	1.87E+01	1.93E+00	J			
Iron	mg/kg	3.53E+04	3.59E+05	NA	5.99E+03				
Lead	mg/kg	3.78E+01	4.00E+02	3.02E+01	3.29E+00				
Magnesium	mg/kg	9.06E+02	NA	NA	2.47E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	7.12E+02	4.38E+04	NA	2.06E+01				
Nickel	mg/kg	1.30E+01	1.76E+04	1.59E+01	1.25E+00	J			
Potassium	mg/kg	1.01E+03	NA	NA	2.98E+02	J			
Vanadium	mg/kg	4.09E+01	4.83E+03	NA	7.74E+00				
Zinc	mg/kg	5.27E+01	3.44E+05	1.24E+02	6.29E+00	J			
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON									
Total Organic Carbon	mg/kg	NA	NA .	NA	3.91E+01	J			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOU	NDS								
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	1.03E+05	4.53E-01	3.60E-02	J			

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

NA - Not available.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.

^b Recreational site user human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) and ecological screening value (ESV) as given in IT Corporation, 2000, Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit.

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration. mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram.

42 43 the results was "J" flagged.

- 1 Volatile Organic Compounds. A total of ten VOCs (2-butanone, 2-hexanone, acetone,
- 2 chloroform, methylene chloride, p-cymene, sec-butylbenzene, tetrachloroethene, toluene, and
- 3 trichlorofluoromethane) were detected in the surface and depositional soil samples. The VOC
- 4 results were all below SSSLs. Chloroform concentrations (0.0023 and 0.0052 mg/kg) exceeded
- its ESV (0.001 mg/kg) at two sample locations, CWM-184-MW03 and CWM-185-MW01. Both
- 6 results were flagged with a "J" data qualifier, indicating that the results were estimated.

7

8 **Semivolatile Organic Compounds.** One SVOC (bis[2-ethylhexyl]phthalate) was detected in one depositional soil sample at an estimated concentration below its SSSL and ESV.

10 11

CWM Breakdown Products. CWM breakdown products were not detected in the surface and depositional soil samples.

12 13 14

5.2 Subsurface Soil Analytical Results

- 15 Eight subsurface soil samples were collected for chemical analysis at Training Area T-31,
- Parcels 184(7) and 185(7). Subsurface soil samples were collected at depths greater than one
- foot bgs at the locations shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to residential
- human health SSSLs and metals background screening values, as presented in Table 5-2.

19 20

21

22

Metals. A total of 19 metals were detected in the subsurface soil samples. The concentrations of four metals (aluminum, antimony, arsenic, and iron) exceeded SSSLs. Of these, aluminum and antimony results also exceeded their respective background values:

23 24

 Aluminum (14,00 to 24,300 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (7,803 mg/kg) and background (13,591 mg/kg) at 5 sample locations (CWM-184-MW01, CWM-184-MW02, CWM-184-MW03, CWM-184-MW05, and CWM-185-MW01).

26 27 28

25

Antimony (5.62 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (3.11 mg/kg) and background (1.31 mg/kg) at sample location CWM-184-MW03. The result was flagged with a "J" data qualifier, indicating that it was estimated.

30 31

29

- 32 Volatile Organic Compounds. A total of seven VOCs (2-butanone, 4-methyl-2-pentanone,
- 33 acetone, methylene chloride, p-cymene, toluene, and trichlorofluoromethane) were detected in
- 34 the subsurface soil samples at concentrations below their respective SSSLs. The methylene
- 35 chloride results were flagged with a "B" data qualifier, signifying that the compound was also
- 36 detected in an associated laboratory or field blank sample. The remaining VOC results were
- flagged with a "J" data qualifier, signifying that the results were estimated.

1	Semivolatile Organic Compounds. SVOCs were not detected in the subsurface soil
2	samples.
3	·
4	CWM Breakdown Products. CWM breakdown products were not detected in the subsurface
5	soil samples.
6	
7	5.3 Groundwater Analytical Results
8	Fourteen groundwater samples were collected for chemical analysis at Training Area T-31,
9	Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), at the seven well locations shown on Figure 3-1. Two rounds of
10	sampling were conducted. The second round of sampling (Phase II) was performed to confirm
11	the presence of VOCs detected in the initial samples. Analytical results were compared to
12	residential human health SSSLs and metals background screening values, as presented in Table
13	5-3.
14	
15	Metals. The seven Phase I groundwater samples were analyzed for metals. A total of thirteen
16	metals were detected in the samples. The concentrations of four metals (aluminum, arsenic, iron,
17	and manganese) exceeded their respective SSSLs. The concentrations of aluminum and
18	manganese also exceeded their respective background concentrations:
19	
20	 Aluminum (3.64 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (1.56 mg/L) and background
21 22	concentration (2.34 mg/L) at sample location CWM-184-MW04.
23	 Manganese (0.617 to 1.29 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (0.074 mg/L) and background
24	concentration (0.581 mg/L) at three sample locations: CWM-184-MW01, CWM-
25	184-MW02, and CWM-185-MW02.
26	
27	Volatile Organic Compounds. All of the groundwater samples were analyzed for VOCs. A
28	total of six VOCs (acetone, bromodichloromethane, chloroform, methylene chloride, toluene,
29	and trichloroethene) were detected in the samples. The following three VOCs exceeded their
30	respective SSSLs in the initial round of sampling only:
31	
32	 Acetone (0.43 to 5.3 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (0.16 mg/L) at CWM-184-MW01,
33 34	CWM-184-MW02, and CWM-185-MW02. All results were flagged with a "J"
35	data qualifier, signifying that the results were estimated.
36 37	 Chloroform (0.0012 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (0.0015 mg/L) at sample location CWM-185-MW02. The result was flagged with a "B" data qualifier, indicating

1 2	that the compound was also detected in an associated laboratory or field blank sample.
3 4 5 6	 Trichloroethene (0.0048 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (0.0045 mg/L) at sample location CWM-184-MW03.
7	Acetone and chloroform were not detected in these wells during the second round of sampling
8	and all Phase II VOC results were below SSSLs. Trichloroethene was detected during Phase II
9	sampling but at concentrations below its SSSL.
10	
11	Semivolatile Organic Compounds. The seven Phase I groundwater samples were analyzed
12	for SVOCs. SVOCs were not detected in the samples.
13	•
14	CWM Breakdown Products. The seven Phase I groundwater samples were analyzed for
15	CWM breakdown products. CWM breakdown products were not detected in the samples.
16	*
17	5.4 Surface Water Analytical Results
18	One surface water sample was collected for chemical analysis at Training Area T-31, Parcels
19	184(7) and 185(7), at the location shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to
20	recreational site user human health SSSLs, ESVs, and metals background concentrations, as
21	presented in Table 5-4. It should be noted that the assumptions for residential and recreational
22	site user exposure to surface water are identical.
23	
24	Metals. A total of ten metals were detected in the surface water sample at concentrations below
25	SSSLs, with the exception of thallium. The concentrations of aluminum, barium, and thallium
26	exceeded their respective ESVs but the concentrations of aluminum and barium were below
27	background values. The concentration of thallium (0.044 mg/L) exceeded its SSSL (0.001
28	mg/L), ESV (0.004 mg/L), and background concentration (0.002 mg/L) at sample location
29	CWM-185-SW/SD02.
30	
31	Volatile Organic Compounds. VOCs were not detected in the surface water sample.
32	
33	Semivolatile Organic Compounds. SVOCs were not detected in the surface water sample.
34	
35	CWM Breakdown Products. CWM breakdown products were not detected in the surface
36	water sample.
	-

1
2

5.5 Sediment Analytical Results

- 3 One sediment sample was collected for chemical and physical analyses at Training Area T-31,
- 4 Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), at the location shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were
- 5 compared to recreational site user human health SSSLs, ESVs, and metals background
- 6 concentrations, as presented in Table 5-5. It should be noted that the assumptions for residential
- 7 and recreational user exposure to sediment are identical.

8

9 **Metals.** A total of fourteen metals were detected in the sediment sample at concentrations 10 below their respective SSSLs and ESVs.

11

12 **Volatile Organic Compounds.** One VOC (acetone) was detected in the sediment sample at an estimated concentration below its SSSL and ESV.

14 15

Semivolatile Organic Compounds. SVOCs were not detected in the sediment sample.

16

17 **CWM Breakdown Products.** CWM breakdown products were not detected in the sediment sample.

19

- 20 **Total Organic Carbon.** The sediment sample was analyzed for TOC. The TOC
- concentration in the sample was 39.1 mg/kg, as summarized in Appendix F.

22 23

Grain Size. The grain size results for the sediment sample are included in Appendix F.

24 25

5.6 Statistical and Geochemical Evaluations of Site Metals Data

- 26 Site metals data were further evaluated using statistical and geochemical methods to determine if
- 27 the metals detected in site media are site related. This multi-tiered approach is described in the
- 28 Shaw technical memorandum "Selecting Site-Related Chemicals for Human Health and
- 29 Ecological Risk Assessments for FTMC: Revision 2" (Shaw, 2003). The statistical and
- 30 geochemical evaluations determined that the metals detected in site media were all naturally
- occurring, except for mercury and silver in one depositional soil sample (Appendix H).
- 32 The geochemical evaluation concluded that the mercury and silver concentrations at sample
- location CWM-184-DEP01 are anomalously high and may contain a component of
- 34 contamination.

6.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations

1

2 3 Shaw completed an SI at Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), at FTMC in Calhoun County, Alabama. The SI was conducted to determine whether chemical constituents are present 4 5 at the site at concentrations that present an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. Phase I of the SI consisted of the collection and analysis of eleven surface and depositional soil 6 samples, eight subsurface soil samples, seven groundwater samples, one surface water sample, 7 and one sediment sample. In addition, seven permanent monitoring wells were installed in the 8 saturated zone to facilitate groundwater sample collection and to provide site-specific geological 9 10 and hydrogeological characterization information. Phase II of the SI consisted of a second round 11 of groundwater sampling for VOC analysis only. 12 Chemical analysis of samples collected at the site indicates that metals, VOCs, and SVOCs were 13 14 detected in the various site media. CWM breakdown products were not detected in site media. 15 Analytical results were compared to the SSSLs and ESVs for FTMC. The SSSLs and ESVs 16 were developed by Shaw for human health and ecological risk evaluations as part of the ongoing SIs being performed under the BRAC Environmental Restoration Program at FTMC. Metals 17 18 concentrations exceeding SSSLs and ESVs were compared to medium-specific background 19 screening values (SAIC, 1998). In addition, site metals data were further evaluated using 20 statistical and geochemical methods to select site-related metals. 21 22 Aluminum and antimony in soil, aluminum and manganese in groundwater, and thallium in 23 surface water were detected at concentrations exceeding SSSLs and background and, thus, were selected as chemicals of potential concern in site media. No chemicals of potential concern were 24 25 identified for sediment. The statistical and geochemical evaluations, however, determined that 26 these metals were present at naturally occurring levels. Three VOCs (acetone, chloroform, and trichloroethene) were also identified as chemicals of potential concern in groundwater because 27 28 their concentrations exceeded SSSLs during the initial round of sampling. However, during the second round of sampling, these chemicals were either not detected or their concentrations were 29 30 below SSSLs. Therefore, it is concluded that these VOCs do not pose a threat to human health. 31 32 Ten metals in surface and depositional soil (aluminum, antimony, barium, beryllium, copper, 33 lead, mercury, selenium, silver, and zinc) and thallium in surface water were detected at 34 concentrations exceeding ESVs and background, and thus were selected as constituents of

potential ecological concern in site media. In addition, the VOC chloroform was selected as a

35

1 constituent of potential ecological concern in surface soil because it was detected at low 2 estimated concentrations (0.0023 and 0.0052 mg/kg) exceeding its ESV (0.001 mg/kg) in two 3 samples. No constituents of potential ecological concern were identified for sediment. The 4 statistical and geochemical evaluations determined that metals detected in site media were present at naturally occurring levels except for mercury and silver in one depositional soil sample 5 (CWM-184-DEP01). Although silver (17.1 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (2 mg/kg) and background 6 7 (0.36 mg/kg) in one sample, it was not detected in any other site samples. Mercury was detected in five of 19 soil samples (including subsurface soil) and only one result (0.4 mg/kg) exceeded 8 9 its ESV (0.1 mg/kg). The remaining mercury results were below the ESV and background. Based on the relatively low detected levels above screening values and/or infrequent detection in 10 site media, it is concluded that the constituents of potential ecological concern do not pose an 11 unacceptable threat to ecological receptors. 12 13 14 Based on the results of the SI, past operations at Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7). 15 have not adversely impacted the environment. The metals and chemical compounds detected in site media do not pose an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment. Therefore, 16 Shaw recommends "No Further Action" and unrestricted land reuse for Parcel 184(7). Although 17 18 the analytical data for Parcel 185(7) do not indicate contamination, it is recommended that this

parcel be included in the remedial investigation of Parcel 230Q-X because these parcels overlap

6-2

19 20

21

one another.

7.0 References

3	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2000, Standard Practice for Description
4	and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure), ASTM D2488-00.
5	
6	Cloud, P. E., Jr., 1966, Bauxite Deposits in the Anniston, Fort Payne and Ashville areas,
7	Northeast Alabama, U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1199-O.
8	
9	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1998, Final Environmental Baseline
10	Survey, Fort McClellan, Alabama, prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen
11	Proving Ground, Maryland, January.
12	
13	Hunt, Roy E., 1986, Geotechnical Engineering Techniques and Practices, McGraw-Hill Book
14	Co., New York.
15	
16	IT Corporation (IT), 2002, Draft Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort
17	McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, Revision 3, February.
18	
19	IT Corporation (IT), 2000a, Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and
20	Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments, Chemical
21	Warfare Material Site Training Area T-31, Parcels 184(7) and 185(7), Fort McClellan,
22	Calhoun County, Alabama, September.
23	
24	IT Corporation (IT), 2000b, Final Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort
25	McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, March.

26 27

1

2

IT Corporation (IT), 2000c, Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama, July.

28 29

IT Corporation (IT), 1998, Final Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun
 County, Alabama, August.

32 33

Moser, P.H., and S.S. DeJarnette, 1992, Groundwater Availability in Calhoun County, Alabama, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 228.

34 35 36

Osborne, W.E., 1999, Personal Communication with John Hofer (IT), November 16.

37

Osborne, W. Edward, and Michael W. Szabo, 1984, Stratigraphy and Structure of the Jacksonville Fault, Calhoun County, Alabama, Alabama Geological Survey Circular 117.

40

Osborne, W. E., G. D. Irving, and W. E. Ward, 1997, *Geologic Map of the Anniston 7.5'*Quadrangle, Calhoun County, Alabama, Alabama Geologic Survey Preliminary Map, 1 sheet.

43

- Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, C. W. Copeland, Jr., and T. L. Neathery, 1989, Geologic Map of
- 2 Alabama, Alabama Geologic Survey Special Map 221, scale 1:500,000, 1 sheet.

3

- 4 Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, T. L. Neathery, and C. W. Copeland, compilers, 1988, Geologic
- 5 Map of Alabama, Northeast Sheet, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 220, Scale
- 6 1:250,000.

7

- Parsons Engineering Science, Inc. (Parsons), 2002, Final Chemical Warfare Material (CWM)
- 9 Site Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA), Fort McClellan, Alabama, June.

10

- Raymond, D. E., W. E. Osborne, C. W. Copeland, and T. L. Neathery, 1988, Alabama
- 12 Stratigraphy, Geological Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, 97 p.

13

- 14 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1993, Fort McClellan Site Investigation
- 15 Report, August.

16

- 17 Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), 1998, Final Background Metals Survey
- 18 Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama, July.

19

- 20 Shaw Environmental, Inc. (Shaw), 2003, "Selecting Site-Related Chemicals for Human Health
- and Ecological Risk Assessments for FTMC: Revision 2," technical memorandum dated June 24.

22

- 23 Thomas, W. A., and T. L. Neathery, 1982, Appalachian Thrust Belts in Alabama: Tectonics
- 24 and Sedimentation, Geologic Society of America 1982 Annual Meeting, New Orleans,
- Louisiana, Field Trip, Alabama Geological Society Guidebook 19A, 78 p.

26

- 27 Thomas, W. A., and J. A. Drahovzal, 1974, The Coosa Deformed Belt in the Alabama
- 28 Appalachians, Alabama Geological Society, 12th Annual Field Trip Guidebook 98 p.

29

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 2001, Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling
 and Analysis Plans, Engineer Manual EM 200-1-3, February.

32

- U.S. Department of Agriculture, (USDA) 1961, Soil Survey, Calhoun County, Alabama, Soil
- Conservation Service, Series 1958, No. 9, September.

35

- 36 U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1998,
- 37 Unedited Local Climatological Data, Anniston, Alabama, January December 1998.

38

- Warman, J. C, and L.V. Causey, 1962, Geology and Groundwater Resources of Calhoun
- 40 County, Alabama, Alabama Geological Survey County Report 7, 77p.

41

- 42 Roy F. Weston, Inc., 1990, Final USATHAMA Task Order 11, Enhanced Preliminary
- 43 Assessment, Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama, prepared for U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous
- 44 Materials Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, December.

ATTACHMENT 1 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms_____

240	2.4 diablementamentamentia acid	AUF	area use factor	CESAS	Come of Engineers Court Atlantic Courses
2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid				Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Savannah
2,4,5-T	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid	AWARE	Associated Water and Air Resources Engineers, Inc.	CF	conversion factor
2,4,5-TP	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxypropionic acid	AWQC	ambient water quality criteria	CFC	chlorofluorocarbon
3D	3D International Environmental Group	AWWSB	Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board	CFDP	Center for Domestic Preparedness
AB	ambient blank	'В'	Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero)	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
AbB3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded	DCC		CG	phosgene (carbonyl chloride)
AbC3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, severely eroded	BCF	blank correction factor; bioconcentration factor	CGI	combustible gas indicator
AbD3	Anniston and Allen gravelly clay loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BCT	BRAC Cleanup Team	ch	inorganic clays of high plasticity
Abs	skin absorption	BERA	baseline ecological risk assessment	CHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
ABS	dermal absorption factor	BEHP	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	CIH	Certified Industrial Hygienist
AC	hydrogen cyanide	BFB	bromofluorobenzene	CK	cyanogen chloride
ACAD	AutoCadd	BFE	base flood elevation	cł	inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity
AcB2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	BG	Bacillus globigii	Cl	chlorinated
AcC2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	BGR	Bains Gap Road	CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
AcD2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	bgs	below ground surface	cm	centimeter
AcE2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	BHC	hexachlorocyclohexane	CN	chloroacetophenone
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	BHHRA	baseline human health risk assessment	CNB	chloroacetophenone, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride
AdE	Anniston and Allen stony loam, 10 to 25 percent slope	BIRTC	Branch Immaterial Replacement Training Center	CNS	chloroacetophenone, chloropicrin, and chloroform
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	bkg	background	CO	carbon monoxide
ADPH	Alabama Department of Public Health	bls	below land surface	CO_2	carbon dioxide
AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center	BOD	biological oxygen demand	Co-60	cobalt-60
AEDA	ammunition, explosives, and other dangerous articles	Вр	soil-to-plant biotransfer factors	CoA	Code of Alabama
AEL	airborne exposure limit	BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure	COC	chain of custody; chemical of concern
AET	adverse effect threshold	Braun	Braun Intertec Corporation	COE	Corps of Engineers
AF	soil-to-skin adherence factor	BSAF	biota-to-sediment accumulation factors	Con	skin or eye contact
AHA	ammunition holding area	BSC	background screening criterion	COPC	chemical of potential concern
AL	Alabama	BTAG	Biological Technical Assistance Group	COPEC	constituent of potential ecological concern
ALARNG	Alabama Army National Guard	BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes	CPSS	chemicals present in site samples
ALAD	δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	BTOC	below top of casing	CQCSM	Contract Quality Control System Manager
ALDOT	Alabama Department of Transportation	BTV	background threshold value	CRDL	contract-required detection limit
amb.	amber	BW	biological warfare; body weight	CRL	certified reporting limit
amsl	above mean sea level	BZ	breathing zone; 3-quinuclidinyl benzilate	CRQL	contract-required quantitation limit
ANAD	Anniston Army Depot	С	ceiling limit value	CRZ	contamination reduction zone
AOC	area of concern	Ca	carcinogen	Cs-137	cesium-137
AP	armor piercing	CaCO ₃	calcium carbonate	CS	ortho-chlorobenzylidene-malononitrile
APEC	areas of potential ecological concern	CAA	Clean Air Act	CSEM	conceptual site exposure model
APT	armor-piercing tracer	CAB	chemical warfare agent breakdown products	CSM	conceptual site model
AR	analysis request	CACM	Chemical Agent Contaminated Media	CT	central tendency
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement	CAMU	corrective action management unit	ctr.	container
AREE	area requiring environmental evaluation	CBR	chemical, biological, and radiological	CWA	chemical warfare agent; Clean Water Act
AS/SVE	air sparging/soil vapor extraction	CCAL	continuing calibration	CWM	chemical warfare material; clear, wide mouth
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point	CCB	continuing calibration blank	CX	dichloroformoxime
ASR	Archives Search Report	CCV	continuing calibration verification	,D,	
AST	aboveground storage tank	CD	compact disc	D&I	duplicate; dilution detection and identification
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	CDTF	Chemical Defense Training Facility		
		CEHNC	U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville	DAAMS	depot area agent monitoring station
ATCOR	averaging time	CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	DAF	dilution-attenuation factor
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry	CERFA	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act	DANC	decontamination agent, non-corrosive
ATV	all-terrain vehicle			°C	degrees Celsius

Att. 1 Page 1 of 5

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)_____

			A Contra	g/m³	gram per cubic meter
°F	degrees Fahrenheit		Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center	G-856	Geometrics, Inc. G-856 magnetometer
DCA	dichloroethane		Electrical Power Research Institute	G-858G	Geometrics, Inc. G-858G magnetic gradiometer
DCE	dichloroethene		equipment rinsate	GAF	gastrointestinal absorption factor
DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane		ecological risk assessment		gallon
DDE	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene	ER-L	effects range-low	gal	gallons per minute
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane	ER-M	effects range-medium	gal/min	
DEH	Directorate of Engineering and Housing	ESE	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.	GB	sarin (isopropyl methylphosphonofluoridate)
DEP	depositional soil	ESMP	Endangered Species Management Plan	gc	clay gravels; gravel-sand-clay mixtures
DFTPP	decafluorotriphenylphosphine	ESN	Environmental Services Network, Inc.	GC	gas chromatograph
DI	deionized	ESV	ecological screening value	GCL	geosynthetic clay liner
DID	data item description	ET	exposure time	GC/MS	gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer
DIMP	di-isopropylmethylphosphonate	EU	exposure unit	GCR	geosynthetic clay liner
	dry matter; adamsite	Exp.	explosives	GFAA	graphite furnace atomic absorption
DM	dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	E-W	east to west	GIS	Geographic Information System
DMBA	dimethylmethylphosphonate	EZ	exclusion zone	gm	silty gravels; gravel-sand-silt mixtures
DMMP		FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations	gp	poorly graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
DO	dissolved oxygen	FB	field blank	gpm	gallons per minute
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense	FD	field duplicate	GPR	ground-penetrating radar
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice	FDC	Former Decontamination Complex	GPS	global positioning system
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation		U.S. Food and Drug Administration	GRA	general response action
DP	direct-push	FDA Fe ⁺³	ferric iron	GS	ground scar
DPDO	Defense Property Disposal Office	Fe ⁺²	ferrous iron	GSA	General Services Administration; Geologic Survey of Alabama
DPT	direct-push technology		Federal Express, Inc.	GSBP	Ground Scar Boiler Plant
DQO	data quality objective	FedEx	Federal Emergency Management Agency	GSSI	Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc.
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office	FEMA	Federal Facilities Compliance Act	GST	ground stain
DRO	diesel range organics	FFCA		GW	groundwater
DS	deep (subsurface) soil	FFE	field flame expedient	gw	well-graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
DS2	Decontamination Solution Number 2	FFS	focused feasibility study	H&S	health and safety
DSERTS	Defense Site Environmental Restoration Tracking System	FI	fraction of exposure	HA	hand auger
DWEL	drinking water equivalent level	Fil	filtered	HC	mixture of hexachloroethane, aluminum powder, and zinc oxide
E&E	Ecology and Environment, Inc.	Flt	filtered	nc	(smoke producer)
EB	equipment blank	FMDC	Fort McClellan Development Commission	HCI	hydrochloric acid
EBS	environmental baseline survey	FML	flexible membrane liner	HD	distilled mustard (bis-[dichloroethyl]sulfide)
EC ₅₀	effects concentration for 50 percent of a population	f_{oc}	fraction organic carbon	HDPE	high-density polyethylene
ECBC	Edgewood Chemical Biological Center	FOMRA	Former Ordnance Motor Repair Area	НЕ	high explosive
ED	exposure duration	FOST	Finding of Suitability to Transfer	HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
EDD	electronic data deliverable	Foster Wheeler	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation	Herb.	herbicides
EF	exposure frequency	FR	Federal Register	HHRA	human health risk assessment
EDQL	ecological data quality level	Frtn	fraction	HI	hazard index
EE/CA	engineering evaluation and cost analysis	FS	field split; feasibility study	H_2O_2	hydrogen peroxide
Elev.	elevation	FSP	field sampling plan	HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
EM	electromagnetic	ft	feet	HNO ₃	nitric acid
EMI	Environmental Management Inc.	ft/day	feet per day	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hazard quotient
EM31	Geonics Limited EM31 Terrain Conductivity Meter	ft/ft	feet per foot	HQ	screening-level hazard quotient
	Geonics Limited EM61 High-Resolution Metal Detector	ft/yr	feet per year	HQ _{screen}	
EM61	explosive ordnance disposal	FTA	Fire Training Area	hr	hour
EOD	explosive ordnance disposal team	FTMC	Fort McClellan	HRC	hydrogen releasing compound
EODT		FTRRA	FTMC Reuse & Redevelopment Authority	HSA	hollow-stem auger
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	g	gram	HTRW	hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste
EPC	exposure point concentration	ь	*	4,	out of control, data rejected due to low recovery Att 1 Page 2.

Att. 1 Page 2 of 5

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)__

LBP

Liot of		1.C	liquid chromatography	MPA	methyl phosphonic acid
IASPOW	Impact Area South of POW Training Facility	LC	laboratory control sample	MPM	most probable munition
IATA	International Air Transport Authority	LCS	lethal concentration for 50 percent population tested	MQL	method quantitation limit
ICAL	initial calibration	LC ₅₀	lethal dose for 50 percent population tested	MR	molasses residue
ICB	initial calibration blank	LD ₅₀		MRL	method reporting limit
ICP	inductively-coupled plasma	LEL	lower explosive limit	MS	matrix spike
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection	LOAEL	lowest-observed-advserse-effects-level	mS/cm	millisiemens per centimeter
ICS	interference check sample	LRA	land redevelopment authority	mS/m	millisiemens per meter
ID	inside diameter	LT	less than the certified reporting limit	MSD	matrix spike duplicate
IDL	instrument detection limit	LUC	land-use control	MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether
IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health	LUCAP	land-use control assurance plan	msl	mean sea level
IDM	investigative-derived media	LUCIP	land-use control implementation plan	MtD3	Montevallo shaly, silty clay loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes, severely eroded
IDW	investigation-derived waste	max	maximum	mV	millivolts
IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic	MB	method blank	MW	monitoring well
IF IF	ingestion factor; inhalation factor	MCL	maximum contaminant level		Monitoring Well Installation and Management Plan
	incremental lifetime cancer risk	MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal	MWI&MP	-
ILCR	isopropylmethyl phosphonic acid	MCPA	4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid	Na	sodium
IMPA	Iron Mountain Road	MCPP	2-(2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)propionic acid	NA	not applicable; not available
IMR		MCS	media cleanup standard	NAD	North American Datum
in.	inch	MD	matrix duplicate	NAD83	North American Datum of 1983
Ing	ingestion	MDC	maximum detected concentration	NaMnO₄	sodium permanganate
Inh	inhalation	MDCC	maximum detected constituent concentration	NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
IP	ionization potential	MDL	method detection limit	NAS	National Academy of Sciences
IPS	International Pipe Standard	mg	milligrams	NCEA	National Center for Environmental Assessment
IR	ingestion rate	mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram	NCP	National Contingency Plan
IRDMIS	Installation Restoration Data Management Information System	mg/kg/day	milligram per kilogram per day	NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information Service	mg/kgbw/day	milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day	ND	not detected
IRP	Installation Restoration Program	mg/L	milligrams per liter	NE	no evidence; northeast
IS	internal standard	mg/m³	milligrams per cubic meter	ne	not evaluated
ISCP	Installation Spill Contingency Plan	mh	inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine, sandy or silt soils	NEW	net explosive weight
IT	IT Corporation	MHz	megahertz	NFA	No Further Action
ITEMS	IT Environmental Management System TM		micrograms per gram	NG	National Guard
,1 ,	estimated concentration	μg/g	micrograms per kilogram	NGP	National Guardsperson
JeB2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	μg/kg "	micrograms per liter	ng/L	nanograms per liter
JeC2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	μg/L 		NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
JfB	Jefferson stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 10 percent slopes have strong slopes	μmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter	Ni	nickel
JPA	Joint Powers Authority	MeV	mega electron volt	NIC	notice of intended change
K	conductivity	min	minimum	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
K _d	soil-water distribution coefficient	MINICAMS	miniature continuous air monitoring system	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
	kilogram	ml	inorganic silts and very fine sands	NLM	National Library of Medicine
kg KeV	kilo electron volt	mL	milliliter	NO ₃	nitrate
	organic carbon partioning coefficient	mm	millimeter	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
Koc	octonal-water partition coefficient	MM	mounded material	NPW	net present worth
K _{ow}		MMBtu/hr	million Btu per hour		number
KMnO₄	potassium permanganate	MNA	monitored natural attenuation	No.	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
L	liter; Lewisite (dichloro-[2-chloroethyl]sulfide)	MnO ₄ -	permanganate ion	NOAA	no-observed-adverse-effects-level
L/kg/day	liters per kilogram per day	MOA	Memorandum of Agreement	NOAEL	
Ī	liter	MOGAS	motor vehicle gasoline	NR NBC	not requested; not recorded; no risk
LAW	light anti-tank weapon	MOUT	Military Operations in Urban Terrain	NRC	National Research Council
lb	pound	MP	Military Police	NRCC	National Research Council of Canada
LBP	lead-based paint	,	-		

lead-based paint Att. 1 Page 3 of 5 KN3/4040/Acronyms/Acro Attach/12/08/03(5:20 PM)

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)_____

			II G walkenner	RI	remedial investigation
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places	PFT	portable flamethrower	RL	reporting limit
NRT	near real time	PG	professional geologist	RME	reasonable maximum exposure
ns	nanosecond	PID	photoionization detector Philo and Stendal soils local alluvium, 0 to 2 percent slopes	ROD	Record of Decision
N-S	north to south	PkA		RPD	relative percent difference
	not surveyed	PM	project manager	RR	Range residue
= :	New South Associates, Inc.	POC	point of contact	RRF	relative response factor
nT	nanotesia	POL	petroleum, oils, and lubricants	RSD	relative standard deviation
nT/m	nanoteslas per meter	POTW	publicly owned treatment works	RTC	Recruiting Training Center
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit	POW	prisoner of war	RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
nv	not validated	PP	peristaltic pump; Proposed Plan	RTK	real-time kinematic
O ₂	oxygen	ppb	parts per billion	RWIMR	Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road
O_3	ozone	ppbv	parts per billion by volume	SA	exposed skin surface area
O&G	oil and grease	PPE	personal protective equipment	SAD	South Atlantic Division
0&G 0&M	operation and maintenance	ppm	parts per million	SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
OB/OD	open burning/open detonation	PPMP	Print Plant Motor Pool	SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
	outside diameter	ppt	parts per thousand	SAP	installation-wide sampling and analysis plan
OD	ordnance and explosives	PR	potential risk		Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
OE	organic clays of medium to high plasticity	PRA	preliminary risk assessment	SARA	clayey sands; sand-clay mixtures
oh	•	PRG	preliminary remediation goal	sc	
OH•	hydroxyl radical organic silty clays of low plasticity	PS	chloropicrin	Sch.	schedule
ol		PSSC	potential site-specific chemical	SCM	site conceptual model
OP	organophosphorus	pt	peat or other highly organic silts	SD	sediment
ORC	Oxygen Releasing Compound	PVC	polyvinyl chloride	SDG	sample delivery group
ORP	oxidation-reduction potential	QA	quality assurance	SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response	QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control	SDZ	safe distance zone; surface danger zone
OSWER	to the state of th	QAM	quality assurance manual	SEMS	Southern Environmental Management & Specialties, Inc.
OVM-PID/FID		QAO	quality assurance officer	SF	cancer slope factor
OWS	oil/water separator	QAP	installation-wide quality assurance plan	SFSP	site-specific field sampling plan
OZ	ounce	QC QC	quality control	SGF	standard grade fuels
PA	preliminary assessment	QST	OST Environmental, Inc.	Shaw	Shaw Environmental, Inc.
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon	•	quantity	SHP	installation-wide safety and health plan
PARCCS	precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity	qty Oual	qualifier	SI	site investigation
_	Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.	R	rejected data; resample; retardation factor	SINA	Special Interest Natural Area
Parsons		R&A	relevant and appropriate	SL	standing liquid
Pb	lead performance-based measurement system	RA	remedial action	SLERA	screening-level ecological risk assessment
PBMS	•	RAO	remedial action objective	sm	silty sands; sand-silt mixtures
PC	permeability coefficient	RBC	risk-based concentration; red blood cell	SM	Serratia marcescens
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl	RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	SMDP	Scientific Management Decision Point
PCDD	polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins	RCWM	Recovered Chemical Warfare Material	s/n	signal-to-noise ratio
PCDF	polychlorinated dibenzofurans	RD RD	remedial design	SO_4^{-2}	sulfate
PCE	perchloroethene		cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine	SOD	soil oxidant demand
PCP	pentachlorophenol	RDX	Rarden silty clay loams	SOP	standard operating procedure
PDS	Personnel Decontamination Station	ReB3	regular field sample	SOPQAM	U.S. EPA's Standard Operating Procedure/Quality Assurance Manual
PEF	particulate emission factor	REG	recommended exposure limit	sp	poorly graded sands; gravelly sands
PEL	permissible exposure limit	REL	request for analysis	SP	submersible pump
PERA	preliminary ecological risk assessment	RFA	reference concentration	SPCC	system performance calibration compound
PES	potential explosive site	RfC	reference concentration	SPCS	State Plane Coordinate System
Pest.	pesticides	RfD	remedial goal option	SPM.	sample planning module
PETN	pentaerythritoltetranitrate	RGO	tomonal goal option		
					Att 1 Page 4 of 5

Att. 1 Page 4 of 5

KN3/4040/Acronyms/Acro Attach/12/08/03(5:20 PM)

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)_

KN3/4040/Acronyms/Acro Attach/12/08/03(5:20 PM)

		TOC	ton of casing: total arganic carbon
SQRT	screening quick reference tables	TOC TPH	top of casing; total organic carbon total petroleum hydrocarbons
Sr-90	strontium-90	TR	
SRA	streamlined human health risk assessment	TRADOC	target cancer risk U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command
SRM	standard reference material		total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
Ss	stony rough land, sandstone series	TRPH	•
SS	surface soil	TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
SSC	site-specific chemical	TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility
SSHO	site safety and health officer	TWA	time-weighted average
SSHP	site-specific safety and health plan	UCL	upper confidence limit
SSL	soil screening level	UCR	upper certified range
SSSL	site-specific screening level	'U'	not detected above reporting limit
SSSSL	site-specific soil screening level	UIC	underground injection control
STB	supertropical bleach	UF	uncertainty factor
STC	source-term concentration	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
STD	standard deviation	USACHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
STEL	short-term exposure limit	USAEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center
STL	Severn-Trent Laboratories	USAEHA	U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency
STOLS	Surface Towed Ordnance Locator System [®]	USACMLS	U.S. Army Chemical School
Std. units	standard units	USAMPS	U.S. Army Military Police School
SU	standard unit	USATCES	U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosive Safety
SUXOS	senior UXO supervisor	USATEU	U.S. Army Technical Escort Unit
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound	USATHAMA	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Material Agency
SW	surface water	USC	United States Code
SW-846	U.S. EPA's Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical	USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
CHO ALI	Methods	USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
SWMU	solid waste management unit	USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
SWPP	storm water pollution prevention plan	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
SZ	support zone	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
TAL	target analyte list turn around time	UST	underground storage tank
TAT		UTL	upper tolerance level; upper tolerance limit
TB TBC	trip blank to be considered	UXO	unexploded ordnance
	trichloroethane	UXOQCS	UXO Quality Control Supervisor
TCA	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	UXOSO	UXO safety officer
TCDD TCDF	tetrachlorodibenzofurans	V	vanadium
TCE	trichloroethene	VC	vinyl chloride
TCL	target compound list	VOA	volatile organic analyte
TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure	VOC	volatile organic compound
TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation	VOH	volatile organic hydrocarbon
TDGCL	thiodiglycol	VQlfr	validation qualifier
TDGCLA	thiodiglycol chloroacetic acid	VQual	validation qualifier
TEA		VX	nerve agent (O-ethyl-S-[diisopropylaminoethyl]-methylphosphonothiolate)
	triethylaluminum	WAC	Women's Army Corps
Tetryl	trinitrophenylmethylnitramine	Weston	Roy F. Weston, Inc.
TERC	Total Environmental Restoration Contract	WP	installation-wide work plan
THI	target hazard index	WRS	Wilcoxon rank sum
TIC	tentatively identified compound	WS	watershed
TLV	threshold limit value	WSA	Watershed Screening Assessment
TN	Tennessee	wwi	World War I
TNT	trinitrotoluene		

WWII World War II
XRF x-ray fluorescence
yd³ cubic yards

Att. 1 Page 5 of 5